



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/608,285	06/27/2003	Yiren Hong	STL11183 8533		
75	590 12/02/2004	EXAMINER			
Derek J. Berger, Seagate Technology LLC			TUPPER, ROBERT S		
Intellectual Pro	perty - COL2LGL	ART UNIT	DA DED AND (DED		
389 Disc Drive			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
Longmont, CA 80503			2652	•	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/02/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/608,28		HONG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert S T	upper	2652				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			orrespondence address	S			
Period for								
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ions of time may be available under the provisions of X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commerciod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply voly received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. ) days, a reply within the statu lutory period will apply and will will. by statute. cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 27 June 2003.						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.							
,	Since this application is in condition t	or allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the me	rits is			
-	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims							
<b>4</b> )⊠ (	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (								
8) 🗌 (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(	s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/27/03</u> .		6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2652

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9-13, 15-17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by HAGA (5,214,552).

Note figures 2-5. HAGA shows a disk drive with an actuator having an arm portion (13A2) that is offset from a fantail portion (see 13A in figure in figure 4). The fantail portion mounts a voice coil motor coil (17) which lying partially within the plane of the arm portion (13A2). The planes of the fantail portion and the arm portiuon are parallel. The voice coil motor coil and parts of the coil mount are in the same plane (re claims 2 and 15). Note that these claims do not require that ALL portions of the fantail assembly be in the same plane as the coil. The fantail assembly is clearly stepped (see especially figures 3 and 5) and are curved (see figure 4). The fantail portion has two side portions (13A3) that support the coil.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2652

4. Claims 7, 8, 14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAGA (5,214,552).

HAGA shows a disk drive with actuator structure, and a method of making it, substantially as claimed.

HAGA differs in not: (A) using an adhesive to mount the bearing cartridge (re claim 7), (B) specifying an epoxy adhesive (re claims 8 and 18), and (C) specifying stamping the arm (re claim 14).

Concerning (A), HAGA press fits the bearing cartridge into place. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an adhesive to mount the bearing cartridge. The motivation is as follows: these are art recognized equivalents that operate in the same manner and produce the same results without any unexpected results.

Concerning (B), HAGA simply does not identify a specific adhesive. HAGA does utilize a heat cured adhesive to mount the coil (see column 5 lines 42-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an epoxy adhesive in HAGA. The motivation is as follows: epoxy adhesives are well known and commonly used in disk drive structures. One of ordinary skill in the art would used any such known adhesive where no specific adhesive is specified.

Concerning (C), HAGA does not specify how the arm is formed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the arm in HAGA using stamping. The motivation is as follows: stamping suspension components is well known and commonly used in disk drives. One of

Application/Control Number: 10/608,285

Art Unit: 2652

ordinary skill in the art would used any such a known method where no specific method is specified.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 5, "optimizing... cartridge" is indefinte. It is unclear what is being claimed.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

LAU et al and McREYNOLDS et al are patents to the assignee of this application that show similar actuator arm structures of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,285

Art Unit: 2652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst